

Brussels, 1 August 2011



## **EUROPEAN FOOD SCP ROUND TABLE**

### **CONSULTATION DOCUMENT**

#### **Preliminary Report of Working Group 3 on Continuous Environmental Improvement**

**Food SCP Round Table Secretariat**

c/o Landmark Europe

Rue du Collège 27

1050 Brussels

Belgium

Tel: +32 2 808 0644

Fax: +32 2 502 8870

E-mail: [info@food-scp.eu](mailto:info@food-scp.eu)

**The consultation closes on 30 September 2011.**

## Consultation Details

### What is the purpose of this consultation?

To seek the views of stakeholders on the identification and prioritization of major environmental challenges along the various stages of the different food and drink chains, including consumption, on the identification of priority areas for continuous environmental improvement, considering the respective responsibilities of the various players along the food value chain, and on the identification of priority areas for targeted sustainability R&D and eco-innovation in these fields.

## Proposed Document

Preliminary report of the **Food SCP Round Table on Continuous Environmental Improvement**.

## Questions

- Do you have any comments on the “constituency” structure that has been adopted for presenting the Environmental Challenges and the current actions currently implemented within the food chain?
- Do you think that an additional chapter would be necessary to address the life cycle approach along the food chain? If yes, could you make suggestions on how to develop such a chapter so as to present it in a clear and concise way?
- Do you find the structure and format of the Executive Summary useful in understanding the key issues?
- Do you find that the current level of quantitative information allows for a strong understanding of the environmental challenges addressed at each step of the food chain?
- Do you have any comments on the "Existing actions to address the challenges"? Do you consider there to be a correct balance between concrete examples and short presentations of general types of action?
- Do you have any comments on the “key obstacles”? Are there any areas that are not addressed?
- Do you have any comments on the "Recommendations" sub sections, and particularly on the segmentation between dissemination of current best practices, areas for R&D and policy recommendations?
- Do you think that some areas are not adequately addressed or missing?
- Do you have any other comments?